

Convergence of Modified Proximal Point Algorithms for Hybrid Pair of Nonexpansive Mappings in $CAT(0)$ Spaces

WENG Shengquan, WU Dingping

(College of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu 610225, China)

Abstract: In this paper, we propose a modified proximal point algorithm for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, the set of fixed points of a multivalued nonexpansive mapping, and the set of minimizers of convex and lower semicontinuous functions. We obtain the strong convergence to a common element of three sets in $CAT(0)$ spaces.

Keywords: $CAT(0)$ space; proximal point algorithm; single-valued nonexpansive mapping; multi-valued nonexpansive mapping; resolvent identity

CLC number: O177.91

Document code: A

doi: 10.16836/j.cnki.jcuit.2019.02.016

1 Introduction

A metric space (X, d) is a $CAT(0)$ space^[1] if it is geodesically connected and each geodesic triangle is at least as 'thin' as its comparison triangle in R^2 . Let D be a nonempty closed subset of a $CAT(0)$ space X , and let $T: D \rightarrow D$ be a mapping. The set of fixed point of T is denoted by $F(T)$, that is, $F(T) = \{x \in D: x = Tx\}$.

The useful inequality of $CAT(0)$ space is (CN) inequality^[2], that is, if z, x, y are some points in a $CAT(0)$ space and if $\frac{x \oplus y}{2}$ is the midpoint of geodesic segment

$$[x, y], \text{ then the } CAT(0) \text{ inequality implies} \\ d^2(z, \frac{x \oplus y}{2}) \leq \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, x) + \frac{1}{2}d^2(z, y) - \frac{1}{4}d^2(x, y), \quad (CN)$$

which is equivalent to the following^[3]

$$d^2(z, \lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda d^2(z, x) + (1-\lambda)d^2(z, y) - \lambda(1-\lambda)d^2(x, y), \quad (CN^*)$$

for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where $\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y$ denotes a unique point in $[x, y]$. Moreover, if X is a $CAT(0)$ space and $x, y \in X$, then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, there exists a unique point $\lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y \in [x, y]$ such that

$$d(z, \lambda x \oplus (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda d(z, x) + (1-\lambda)d(z, y), \quad (1)$$

for any $z \in X$.

In the past, there has been many iterative methods

that are constructed and proposed to find approximate fixed points of nonlinear mappings. The S -type iterative methods is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} y_n = (1-\alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1-\beta_n)T x_n + \beta_n T y_n, \end{cases}$$

for each $n \in N$, where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are real sequences in $(0, 1)$.

The proximal point algorithm, which was first introduced by Martinet, is known for its theoretically nice convergence properties.

Bacak^[5] introduced proximal point algorithm into geodesic metric spaces of nonpositive curvature, that is, $CAT(0)$ spaces. For any initial point x_1 in a $CAT(0)$ space X , a sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)],$$

where $\lambda_n > 0$ for all $n \in N$.

For all $\lambda > 0$, define the Moreau-Yosida^[6] resolvent of f in a complete $CAT(0)$ space X as follows:

$$J_\lambda(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(y, x)].$$

Let $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. The set $F(J_\lambda)$ of fixed points of the resolvent associated with f coincides with the set $\operatorname{argmin}_{y \in X} f(y)$ of minimizers of f , which can be found in [7]. Also for any $\lambda > 0$, the resolvent J_λ of f is nonexpansive^[8].

In 2017, Suthep Suantai^[9] have put forward the manner as follows

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ y_n = \beta_n z_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) w_n, w_n \in Sz_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) Tz_n, \forall n \in N, \end{cases}$$

where T is a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, S is a multi-valued nonexpansive mapping. $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and some λ .

Stimulated and inspired by the work of the mathematics researchers, in this paper, we use S-type iteration methods and come up with a new modified algorithm that is from [9]. Research its convergence, some results that we obtained improved and extended the results of [9].

2 Preliminaries

This section collects some lemmas, definitions, which will be used in our main results in the next section.

Definition 1^[10] Let $CB(D)$, $CC(D)$ and $KC(D)$ denote the families of nonempty closed bounded subsets, closed convex subsets and compact convex subsets of D , respectively. The Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance on $CB(D)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \operatorname{dist}(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} \operatorname{dist}(y, A) \right\} \text{ for } A, B \in CB(D),$$

where $\operatorname{dist}(x, D) = \inf \{d(x, y) : y \in D\}$ is the distance from a point x to a subset D . Let S be a multi-valued mapping of D into $CB(D)$.

An element $x \in D$ is called a fixed point of S if $x \in Sx$. The set of all fixed points of S is denoted by $F(S)$, that is, $F(S) = \{x \in D : x \in Sx\}$.

Definition 2^[9] A single-valued mapping $T: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be

- (1) nonexpansive if $d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$;
- (2) semi-compact if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0,$$

there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges strongly to a point p in D .

Definition 3^[9] A multi-valued mapping $S: D \rightarrow CB(D)$ is said to be

- (1) nonexpansive if $H(Sx, Sy) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$;

- (2) hemi-compact if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}(x_n, Sx_n) = 0,$$

there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges strongly to a point p in D .

Lemma 1^[8] Let (X, d) be a complete CAT(0) space and $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then the following identity holds:

$$J_\lambda x = J_\mu \left(\frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda} J_\lambda x \oplus \frac{\mu}{\lambda} x \right), \forall x \in X, \lambda > \mu > 0.$$

Lemma 2^[11] Let (X, d) be a complete CAT(0) space and $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then, for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(J_\lambda x, y) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(x, J_\lambda x) + f(J_\lambda x) \leq f(y),$$

where J_λ is a Moreau-Yosida resolvent of f .

3 Main results

In this part, we go to prove our main theorems.

Theorem 1 Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X ;
- (2) Let $T: D \rightarrow D$ be a single-valued nonexpansive mapping, $S: D \rightarrow CB(D)$ be a multi-valued nonexpansive mapping, and $f: D \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function;
- (3) Suppose that $\Omega = F(T) \cap F(S) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in D} f(y)$ is nonempty and $S_q = \{q\}$ for all $q \in \Omega$;
- (4) Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ be sequences in $(0, 1)$ with $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \leq b < 1$ for all $n \in N$ and for some a, b are positive constants in $(0, 1)$, and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \in N$ and some λ ;
- (5) Suppose that J_λ is semi-compact or T is semi-compact or S is hemicompact.

For $x_1 \in D$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the algorithm as follows:

$$\begin{cases} z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in D} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ t_n = \gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, w_n \in Sz_n, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) Tt_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) Ty_n, \forall n \in N, \end{cases}$$

then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point in Ω .

Proof. This proof will be divided into five steps.

(i) Let $q \in \Omega$. Then we have $Tq = q \in Sq$ and $f(q) \leq f(y)$, for all $y \in D$. It follows that

$$f(q) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(q, q) \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, q), \quad \forall y \in D.$$

Hence, $q = J_{\lambda_n} q$ for all $n \in N$. Since $z_n = J_{\lambda_n} x_n$, it follows by nonexpansiveness of J_{λ_n} that

$$d(z_n, q) = d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, J_{\lambda_n} q) \leq d(x_n, q). \quad (3)$$

For $q \in \Omega$, by virtue of $Sq = \{q\}$ and (1), it shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_n, q) &= d(\gamma_n z_n) \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, q \leq \\ &\gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) d(w_n, q) \leq \\ &\gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) \text{dist}(q, Sz_n) \leq \\ &\gamma_n d(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) H(Sq, Sz_n) \leq \\ &d(z_n, q) \leq \\ &d(x_n, q). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, q) &= d(\beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) Tt_n, q) \leq \\ &\beta_n d(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(Tt_n, q) \leq \\ &\beta_n d(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(t_n, q) \leq \\ &\beta_n d(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, q) = \\ &d(x_n, q) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, q) &= d(\alpha_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) Ty_n, q) \leq \\ &\alpha_n d(Tx_n, q) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(Ty_n, q) \leq \\ &\alpha_n d(x_n, q) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(y_n, q) \leq \\ &d(x_n, q). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Therefore, by (6), we obtain that the sequence $\{d(x_n, q)\}$ is decreasing and bounded. So, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q)$ exists for all $q \in \Omega$.

(ii) Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = c \geq 0. \quad (7)$$

By lemma 2, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(z_n, q) - \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(x_n, q) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(z_n, x_n) \leq f(q) - f(z_n).$$

Since $f(q) \leq f(z_n)$ for all $n \in N$, we get

$$d^2(z_n, x_n) \leq d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(z_n, q) \quad (8)$$

By (3), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, q) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = c. \quad (9)$$

Let $\alpha_n = 1 - (1 - \alpha_n)$ and by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha_n) d(x_n, q) &\leq d(x_n, q) - d(x_{n+1}, q) + \\ &(1 - \alpha_n) d(y_n, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, q) &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_n} [d(x_n, q) - d(x_{n+1}, q)] + d(y_n, q) \leq \\ &\frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_n, q) - d(x_{n+1}, q)] + d(y_n, q). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_n, q) - d(x_{n+1}, q)] + \right. \\ &\left. d(y_n, q) \right\} \leq \\ &\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, q), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$c = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, q).$$

Similarly, by (5) and we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, p) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = c.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, q) = c. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, by the inequality (5), we also have

$$d(y_n, q) \leq \beta_n d(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(t_n, q)$$

and let $\beta_n = 1 - (1 - \beta_n)$, similarly we have

$$(1 - \beta_n) d(x_n, q) \leq d(x_n, q) - d(y_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(t_n, q),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, q) &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} \{d(x_n, q) - d(y_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d(t_n, q)\} \leq \\ &\frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_n, q) - d(y_n, q)] + d(t_n, q). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - b} [d(x_n, q) - d(y_n, q)] + d(t_n, q) \right\}.$$

This together with (7) and (10), we obtain

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = c \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(t_n, q). \quad (11)$$

Also from (4), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(t_n, q) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, q) = c.$$

By (11), it shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(t_n, q) = c. \quad (12)$$

By (4) and (12), we get

$$c = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(t_n, q) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, q).$$

From the above and (9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, q) = c. \quad (13)$$

By (7), (8) and (13), it shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Also from the inequality (CN*), $Sq = \{q\}$ and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(t_n, q) &= d^2(\gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, q) \leq \\ &\gamma_n d^2(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(w_n, q) - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_n d^2(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) \text{dist}^2(q, Sz_n) - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \leq \\
& \gamma_n d^2(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) H^2(Sq, Sz_n) - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \leq \\
& \gamma_n d^2(z_n, q) + (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, q) - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \leq \\
& d^2(x_n, q) - \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

By (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(y_n, q) &= d^2(\beta_n x_n \oplus (1 - \beta_n) Tt_n, q) \leq \\
& \beta_n d^2(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d^2(Tt_n, q) - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d^2(x_n, Tt_n) \leq \\
& \beta_n d^2(x_n, q) + (1 - \beta_n) d^2(t_n, q) - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d^2(x_n, Tt_n) \leq \\
& d^2(x_n, q) - \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d^2(x_n, Tt_n). \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, q) &= d^2(\alpha_n Tx_n \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) Ty_n, q) \leq \\
& \alpha_n d^2(Tx_n, q) + (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(Ty_n, q) - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq \\
& \alpha_n d^2(x_n, q) + (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(y_n, q) - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq \\
& d^2(x_n, q) - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(Tx_n, Ty_n). \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Because of $0 < a \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n \leq b < 1$, this together (15) with (16) and (17) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \gamma_n (1 - \gamma_n) d^2(z_n, w_n) \leq d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(t_n, q) \rightarrow 0 \\
& (n \rightarrow \infty), \\
0 &\leq \beta_n (1 - \beta_n) d^2(x_n, Tt_n) \leq d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(y_n, q) \rightarrow 0 \\
& (n \rightarrow \infty), \\
0 &\leq \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) d^2(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq d^2(x_n, q) - d^2(x_{n+1}, q) \\
& \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, from (7), (10) and (12), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, w_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tt_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_n, Ty_n) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Since $t_n = \gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(t_n, x_n) &= d(\gamma_n z_n \oplus (1 - \gamma_n) w_n, x_n) \leq \\
& \gamma_n d(z_n, x_n) + (1 - \gamma_n) d(w_n, x_n) \leq \\
& \gamma_n d(z_n, x_n) + (1 - \gamma_n) \{d(w_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n)\} \\
& \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

By nonexpansiveness of T , and this together (18) with (19) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, Tt_n) + d(Tt_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq \\
& d(x_n, Tt_n) + d(t_n, x_n) + d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \\
& \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Immediately, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0.$$

(iii) Because of the nonexpansiveness of S , from (14) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dist}(x_n, Sx_n) &\leq d(x_n, z_n) + \text{dist}(z_n, Sz_n) + H(Sz_n, Sx_n) \leq \\
& d(x_n, z_n) + \text{dist}(z_n, Sz_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \leq \\
& 2d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, w_n) \\
& \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, Sx_n) = 0.$$

(iv) By virtue of $\lambda_n > \lambda > 0$, lemma 1 and nonexpansiveness of J_λ , and $z_n = J_{\lambda_n} x_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, J_\lambda x_n) &\leq d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, J_\lambda x_n) \leq \\
& d(x_n, z_n) + d(J_{\lambda_n} z_n, J_\lambda x_n) = \\
& d(x_n, z_n) + d(J_\lambda \left(\frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} x_n \right), J_\lambda x_n) \leq \\
& d(x_n, z_n) + \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, x_n) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} d(x_n, x_n) = \\
& \left(2 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \right) d(x_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, J_\lambda x_n) = 0.$$

(v) Here, we assume that the mapping S is hemi-compact. By the step (iii), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, Sx_n) = 0$. By the hemi-compactness of S and we have that there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, which converges strongly to an element q in D . From the front (ii)-(iv), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, Tu_n) &= 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(u_n, Su_n) = 0 \text{ and} \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, J_\lambda u_n) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows by the nonexpansiveness of T , and by the nonexpansiveness of J_λ that $q = Tq = J_\lambda q$, we get

$$q \in F(T) \cap F(J_\lambda) = F(T) \cap \argmin_{y \in D} f(y). \quad (20)$$

By the nonexpansiveness of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{dist}(q, Sq) &\leq d(q, u_n) + \text{dist}(u_n, Su_n) + H(Su_n, Sq) \leq \\
& 2d(q, u_n) + \text{dist}(u_n, Su_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

It shows that $\text{dist}(q, Sq) = 0$. This implies that $q \in Sq$.

Therefore, we get $q \in F(S)$. By (20), we have

$$q \in F(T) \cap F(S) \cap \argmin_{y \in D} f(y) = \Omega.$$

According to the double extract subsequence principle, we conclude that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ strongly converges to a point q in Ω .

Since every multi-valued mapping $S: D \rightarrow CB(D)$ is hemi-compact if D is a compact subset of X . Then the following result can be obtained from Theorem 1 immediately.

Theorem 2 Let D be a compact convex nonempty subset of a complete CAT(0) space X . The sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithms (2) satisfied the conditions (2) - (4) of Theorem 1. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point in Ω .

References:

- [1] Bridson M, Haefliger A. Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature [M]. Springer, Berlin. 1999.
- [2] Bruhat F, Tits J. Groupes reductifs sur un corps local [M]. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1972, 41:51.
- [3] Dhompongsa S, Panyanak B. On-convergence theorems in $CAT(0)$ spaces [J]. Comput. Math. Appl. 2008, 6:2572–2579.
- [4] Martinet B. Regularisation d'inquations variationnelles par approximations successives [J]. Rev. Fr. Inform. Rech. Oper. 1970, 4:154–158.
- [5] Bacak M. The proximal point algorithm in metric spaces [J]. Isr. J. Math. 2013, 194:689–701.
- [6] Guler O. On the convergence of the proximal point algorithm for convex minimization [J]. SIAM J. Control Optim. 1991, 29:403–419.
- [7] Ariza-Ruiz, D Leustean L, Lopez G. Firmly nonexpansive mappings in classes of geodesic spaces [J]. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 2014, 366, 4299–4322.
- [8] Jost J. Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of nonpositive curvature [J]. Comment. Math. Helv. 1995:659–673.
- [9] Suthep Suantai. Withum Phuengrattana Proximal Point Algorithms for a Hybrid Pair of Nonexpansive Single-Valued and MultiValued Mappings in Geodesic Metric Spaces [J]. 2017:62.
- [10] Tyrrell Rockafellar R, Wets R J B. Variational Analysis [M]. Springer, Berlin. 2005.
- [11] Ambrosio L, Gigli N, Savare G. Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Space of Probability Measures [M]. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zurich, 2nd edn. Birkhuser, Basel. 2008.

$CAT(0)$ 空间混合非扩张映射修正临近点算法的收敛性

翁生权, 吴定平

(成都信息工程大学应用数学学院, 四川 成都 610225)

摘要:提出了一种修正的临近点算法, 来寻找单值非扩张映射、集值非扩张映射不动点点集以及凸下半连续泛函极小元集的公共元, 获得了所提出算法的收敛性。

关键词: $CAT(0)$ 空间; 临近点算法; 单值非扩张映射; 多值非扩张映射; 预解式